

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.   FILING	DATE   FIRST NAME	D INVENTOR	ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/003,325 01/06	/98 PARKER		J D-6	524A	
ARTHUR G YEAGER	LM61/1122	¬ [	EXA	EXAMINER	
SUITE 1305 JACKSONVILLE FL 32	2 <b>0</b> 2-3853	2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		DA	TE MAILED: 11	/22/99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



**Advisory Action** 

Application No. 09/003,325 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Jacqueline Wilson

Group Art Unit 2712

Parker et al.



THE	PER	IOD FOR RESPONS	E: [check only a) or l	o)]							
í	a) 🔲	expires	months from the mailing d	ate of the final rejection.							
1	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.										
(	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.										
□ <i>!</i>	Appell period	ant's Brief is due two for response set fort	months from the date th above, whichever is	e of the Notice of Appeal to s later). See 37 CFR 1.19	filed on (or wi 1(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	thin any					
				on <u>Nov 8, 1999</u> ondition for allowance:	has been considered with the foll	owing effect,					
	The pr	roposed amendment(	(s):								
(											
(	☐ will not be entered because:										
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).										
		•	of new matter. (See	•							
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.										
		they present additio	nal claims without ca	ncelling a corresponding r	number of finally rejected claims.						
	NO	TE:	<u>.</u>								
[	Ag	oplicant's response h	as overcome the follo	wing rejection(s):							
	Newly	y proposed or amend	ed claims	non-allowable claims	would be allowable if so	ubmitted in a					
		ıffidavit, exhibit or rec lowance because:	quest for reconsiderat	ion has been considered t	out does NOT place the application in	condition					
			arker reference is stil	l able to read on the claim	15.						
		-									
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.										
X	For p	urposes of Appeal, th	ne status of the claims	s is as follows (see attache	ed written explanation, if any):						
Claims allowed:											
	The p	proposed drawing cor	rection filed on		as  has not been approved by the E	xaminer.					
	Note	the attached Informa	tion Disclosure Stater	ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s).						
X	Other	•			Whiles						
<b>7-</b> \		Torteni	10.441 574.400.400.4	<b>3Λ</b> Λ,	Wendy Garber	ner					
		YVVVVV	w Sum	7	Supervisory Patent Exami Technology Center 270	0					
				$\cup$	Technology : Entre						

**Advisory Action**